

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/972,797	10/09/2001		Dave Stuttard	032658-018	3642
22862	7590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
GLENN PATENT GROUP				HUISMAN, DAVID J	
3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L MENLO PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2183	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/972,797	STUTTARD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David J. Huisman	2183		

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

The amendment document filed on <u>04 November 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

roquirou.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 ✓ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: see attached sheet.
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf .

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action: or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

BIGHARD L. ELLIS PRIMARY EXAMINE The submission of this amendment has confused the record. More specifically, in applicant's preliminary amendment filed on October 9, 2001, applicant had cancelled claim 89. Now, in the amendment filed on November 4, 2005, claim 89 has reappeared. In addition, claims starting with claim 72 that have been amended in the most recent amendment have been improperly labeled as "Previously Presented." As the examiner previously stated, the preliminary amendment from October 9, 2001, only includes amendments up to claim 71. Amendments for claims 72-205 were not presented and consequently, they were not "previously presented" as stated by applicant in the most recent amendment. The amended claims beyond claim 71 must be listed as "Currently Amended" and the amendment must be shown (by underlining, strik-through, double-bracketing, etc.). Finally, the examiner has noted that there are no longer two claims numbered 103. However, what is not clear is how there are still just 205 claims without applicant cancelling any claims. That is, there should be 206 claims without cancellation. The examiner therefore requests clarification.